GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF NORTH CAROLINA

Session 2015

Legislative Incarceration Fiscal Note

BILL NUMBER: House Bill 714 (Second Edition)

SHORT TITLE: Behavior Analyst Licensure.

SPONSOR(S):

FISCAL IMPACT						
	□ Yes	□ No	✓ No Estimate Av	▼ No Estimate Available		
	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	FY 2019-20	
State Impact						
General Fund Revenues:						
General Fund Expenditures:						
State Positions:						
NET STATE IMPACT	Likely budget cost. See Assumptions & Methodology section for additional details.					
PRINCIPAL DEPARTMENT(S) & PROGRAM(S) AFFECTED: The Administrative Office of the Courts, Office of Indigent Defense, Department of Public Safety EFFECTIVE DATE: Sections 2 and 3: March 1, 2016; The remainder is effective when it becomes law TECHNICAL CONSIDERATIONS: None						

FISCAL IMPACT SUMMARY:

The proposed bill may have a fiscal impact to address a new chargeable offense being enforced, adjudicated, and having penalties applied to those convicted of the new offense. However, given that there is no historical data on this new offense or similar offenses to use as a proxy for predicting the total number of new offenses, the Fiscal Research Division cannot reasonably estimate the total additional costs that may be incurred. The following costs may be incurred for every one person charged and convicted of this crime:

- Administrative Office of the Courts: \$88 per disposition
- Indigent Defense Services: \$181 per disposition
- Department of Public Safety (DPS) Prison Section: No cost
- DPS Community Corrections: Minimum of \$1,697 per offender

Please see the Assumptions and Methodology section for additional information.

BILL SUMMARY:

House Bill 714 enacts new Article 43 of G.S. Chapter 90 to require the licensure of behavior analysts and assistant behavior analysts. It creates a nine-member NC Behavior Analysis Board (Board) with authority to determine licensure qualifications and fees; issue, deny, or suspend any license; conduct background

checks; and discipline licensees. It sets the selection process for the Board members and requires the initial Board members be selected on or before August 1, 2015. The bill establishes minimum qualifications for licensure and maximum licensure fees and provides for license renewal, temporary licensure, and reciprocity.

It enacts new G.S. 90-726.16, making it a Class 2 misdemeanor to practice behavior analysis without a license or to hold oneself out to the public as a person practicing behavior analysis; each violation is a separate offense. The bill enacts new G.S. 8-53.14 providing that any person, duly authorized as a licensed behavior analyst, or any of the person's employees or associates, is not required to disclose any information which the person may have acquired in the practice of behavior analysis and which information was necessary to enable the person to practice behavior analysis. It allows the court to compel such disclosure if necessary. It provides that the behavior analyst-client or behavior analyst-patient privilege is not grounds for failure to report suspected child abuse or neglect to the appropriate county department of social services or for failure to report a disabled adult suspected to be in need of protective services to the appropriate county department of social services.

Also, it provides that the behavior analyst-client or behavior analyst-patient privilege is not grounds for excluding evidence regarding the abuse or neglect of a child, or an illness of or injuries to a child, or the cause thereof, or for excluding evidence regarding the abuse, neglect, or exploitation of a disabled adult, or an illness of or injuries to a disabled adult, or the cause thereof, in any judicial proceeding related to a report pursuant to the Child Abuse Reporting Law, Article 3 of G.S. Chapter 7B.

The bill directs the Board to adopt temporary rules to implement this act no later than January 1, 2016 and makes additional clarifying, organizational and technical changes to this act.

ASSUMPTIONS AND METHODOLOGY:

General

The Sentencing and Policy Advisory Commission (SPAC) prepares prison population projections for each bill containing a criminal penalty. The Commission assumes for such bills that expanding existing or creating new criminal offenses produces no deterrent or incapacitative effect on crime. Therefore, the Fiscal Research Division does not assume deterrent effects for any criminal penalty bill.

S.L. 2011-192 (H.B. 642), the Justice Reinvestment Act (JRA), made changes to North Carolina's court system, corrections system (both to prisons and probation), and to post-release supervision. JRA also created the Statewide Misdemeanant Confinement Program (SMCP) for housing misdemeanants with sentences between 90 and 180 days in county jails (misdemeanants with shorter sentences were already the responsibility of the counties). County participation in the program is voluntary. The SMCP pays participating counties for misdemeanants' housing, transportation, and medical costs. In 2014, the program was expanded to include all misdemeanants with sentences longer than 90 days. The Sentencing and Policy Advisory Commission does not track county jail capacity, so it is not possible to estimate the impact of new or increased misdemeanor penalties on county jails.

Judicial Branch

The Administrative Office of the Courts (AOC) provides Fiscal Research with a fiscal impact analysis for most criminal penalty bills. For such bills, fiscal impact is typically based on the assumption that court time will increase due to anticipated increases in trials and corresponding increases in workload for judges, clerks, and prosecutors. This increased court time is also expected to result in greater expenditures for jury fees and indigent defense.

Section 2 of the bill creates a new Class 2 misdemeanor offense. Because this is a new offense, AOC does not have historical data upon which to estimate the number of charges that might occur. AOC provides estimates of the average cost to the court for a charge by offense class. For every additional person charged with a Class 2 misdemeanor, the average cost to the court would be \$88.

The Office of Indigent Defense Services (IDS) has provided Fiscal Research with the frequency and cost of indigent defense services for each level of crime, including the cost differentials for district and superior court with and without a trial and the percentage of cases handled in each category. Fiscal Research used this data to calculate a weighted average of IDS costs. In FY 2011-12, 30% of Class 2 misdemeanor cases were handled through IDS. The weighted average cost of a new Class 2 misdemeanor is \$181 per case for a private appointed counsel (PAC) attorney. This estimate assumes the appointment of a PAC attorney. In districts that have Public Defender offices, cases may be handled by those offices. In those instances, this cost may not be incurred.

Department of Public Safety - Prison Section

This bill creates a new Class 2 misdemeanor offense. SPAC expects no impact on the prison population because all misdemeanor offenders who receive active sentences will serve them in the local jail. Therefore, the Department of Public Safety does not anticipate an impact on prison custody projections.

Department of Public Safety - Community Correction Section

All misdemeanor offenders may be given non-active (intermediate or community) sentences exclusively, or in conjunction with imprisonment (split-sentence). Sanctions include electronic house arrest, community service, substance abuse treatment, participation in educational or vocational skills development, payment of court costs, fines, and restitution, and short-term jail sentences not exceeding six days per month.

JRA essentially eliminated the distinction between "community" and "intermediate" supervision. Under structured sentencing, the two types of supervision were each defined by a set of specific sanctions. Under JRA, both community and intermediate probation may now include electronic monitoring, short-term periods of confinement, substance abuse assessment, monitoring, and treatment, participation in educational programs or vocational skills development. Whether a probationer is subject to more stringent conditions is determined by the results of a risk-needs assessment administered by the Department of Public Safety.

All types of post-release supervision, including intermediate or community sanctions, are supervised by the Community Corrections Section (CCS); CCS also oversees community service. General post-release supervision and supervision of intermediate and community offenders by a probation officer costs \$130.50 per offender, per month; no cost is assumed for those receiving unsupervised probation, or who are only ordered to pay fines, fees, or restitution. Total costs are based on average supervision length and the percentage of offenders (per offense class) sentenced to active sentences requiring post-release supervision, intermediate sanctions and supervised probation.

In FY 2013-14, 33% of Class 2 misdemeanor offenders received active sentences with an average sentence of 21 days; 67% received probation. Active misdemeanor sentences of less than 180 days are served in local jails and do not require any post-release supervision. The average length of probation imposed for this offense class was 13 months. Therefore, at a minimum, one conviction resulting from this bill will require at least 13 months of supervision. The cost of 13 months of supervision is \$1,697 per offender (\$130.50 per month times 13 months).

¹ Due to the effective date of December 1, 2015 and the typical lag time between charge and conviction (6 months), little impact is assumed for CCS in FY 2015-16. Though some offenders may come under CCS supervision during this time, this note assumes an even entry over the course of FY 2016-17.

SOURCES OF DATA: Department of Public Safety; Administrative Office of the Courts; North Carolina Sentencing and Policy Advisory Commission; Office of Indigent Defense Services.

TECHNICAL CONSIDERATIONS: None

FISCAL RESEARCH DIVISION: (919) 733-4910

PREPARED BY: Jordan Paschal and William Childs

APPROVED BY:

Mark Trogdon, Director Fiscal Research Division

DATE: June 22, 2015



Signed Copy Located in the NCGA Principal Clerk's Offices