# STATE <br> GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF NORTH CAROLINA <br> Session 2009 <br> Legislative Actuarial Note <br> Health Benefits 

BILL NUMBER: House Bill 2055 (Third Edition)
SHORT TITLE: State Health Plan/Local Govt Retiree Contrib.
SPONSOR(S):

SYSTEM OR PROGRAM AFFECTED: Retiree Health Benefit Fund, a trust fund authorized under G.S. 135-7(f). The Retiree Health Benefit fund receives payroll contributions from employing units and uses those contributions, plus investment earnings, to pay for non-contributory health benefit coverage of eligible retired employees.

BILL SUMMARY: House Bill 2055 forgives a liability owed by the counties of Bladen, Rutherford and Washington, whom are respectively local governments authorized to be employing units under the State Health Plan, to the State's Retiree Health Benefit Fund. The amount of the liability to be forgiven is equal to the net of the total payroll contributions owed by the counties to the Fund for the period July 1, 2005 through June 30, 2008 minus the aggregate premium contributions paid by the counties to the State Health Plan for its covered retirees during the same period of time.

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 1, 2010

## ESTIMATED IMPACT ON STATE:

Aon Consulting, consulting actuary for the State Health Plan for Teachers and State Employees, and Hartman and Associates, consulting actuary for the General Assembly's Fiscal Research Division, each estimate the bill will have no impact on the State Health Plan, but would result in the State's Retiree Health Benefit Fund not collecting an estimated $\$ 3.64$ million in net payroll contributions and lost investment earnings.

ASSUMPTIONS AND METHODOLOGY: The actuarial analyses used by each respective consulting actuary are on file with the Fiscal Research Division. Copies of each respective consulting actuary's analysis, including assumptions, are also attached to the original copy of this Legislative Actuarial note.

Each consulting actuary, based on data provided by the Retirement Systems Division of the Department of State Treasurer, assumed the amount of total payroll contributions owed by Bladen ( $\$ 2,319,625$ ), Rutherford $(\$ 1,501,963)$, and Washington $(\$ 484,680)$ counties for the period equaled $\$ 4,306,268$. Payroll contributions deposited to the Retiree Health Benefit Fund are invested in the State’s Short-term Investment Fund. Therefore, each actuary then estimated the lost investment earnings on the funds owed by Rutherford County from July 1, 2005 through June 30, 2009 based on actual earnings in the Short-term Investment Fund, and then a projected return through June 30, 2010 based on actual returns under the Fund through March 30, 2010. The amount of lost investment earnings is projected to be $\$ 417,516$ by Hartman and Associates, and $\$ 432,487$ by Aon Consulting. The difference is due to a slightly different projected investment return used by each respective actuary for the period July 1, 2009 through June 30, 2010.

As an offset to the payroll contributions and interest owed, each consulting actuary credited the total amount paid by Bladen ( $\$ 431,667$ ), Rutherford ( $\$ 539,363$ ) and Washington ( $\$ 120,754$ ) in premium contributions to the State Health Plan during the affected period by $\$ 1,091,784$ as reported by the Plan.

Retiree Health Benefit Fund: Through May 31, 2010, the Retiree Health Benefit Fund had an ending cash balance of $\$ 556.7$ million. Year-to-date average monthly payroll contributions have equaled $\$ 56.1$ million with average monthly investment earnings of $\$ 708,955$. Total monthly contributions plus investment earnings have averaged $\$ 56.8$ million through May 31, 2010. Average monthly disbursements from the Fund for the same period were $\$ 47.7$ million with average monthly administrative charges of $\$ 24,055$. As a result, through May 31, 2010, the Fund has averaged a net monthly gain of $\$ 9.1$ million.

Other Post Employment Benefits: The amount of annual payroll contributions to the Fund is projected to be $\$ 673.2$ million based on actual results through May 31, 2010 and a payroll contribution rate of $4.5 \%$ of payroll. According to the most recent Other Post Employment Benefit actuarial valuation of retiree health care liabilities for the State as of December 2008, the State's Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability for retiree healthcare obligations is projected to be $\$ 27.9$ billion over a 30 -year period. The Annual Required Contribution for the State to fund this obligation on an actuarial basis over a 30 -year period is projected to be $\$ 2.7$ billion, or $17.5 \%$ of payroll based on the most recent actuarial valuation prepared as of December 31, 2008.

## Summary Information and Data about the Plan

The Plan administers health benefit coverage for active employees from employing units of State agencies and departments, universities, local public schools, and local community colleges. Eligible retired employees of authorized employing units may also access health benefit coverage under the Plan. Eligible dependents of active and retired employees are authorized to participate in the Plan provided they meet certain requirements. Employees and retired employees of selected local governments may also participate in the Plan under certain conditions. Members of fire, rescue squads, and the National Guard may also obtain coverage under the Plan provided they meet certain eligibility criteria.

As of July 1, 2009, the State finances the Plan on a self-funded basis and administers benefit coverage under a Preferred Provider Option (PPO) arrangement. The Plan's receipts are derived through premium contributions, investment earnings and other receipts. Premiums for health benefit coverage are paid by (1) employing agencies for active employees, (2) the Retiree Health Benefit Fund for retired employees, and (3) employees and retirees who elect dependent coverage. Total revised requirements for the Plan are estimated to be $\$ 2.55$ billion for FY 2009-10 and $\$ 2.74$ billion for FY 2010-11. The Plan's PPO benefit design includes two alternative benefit levels listed below:

1) The "Basic" 70/30 plan that offers higher out-of pocket requirements in return for lower fully contributory dependent premiums; and
2) The "Standard" 80/20 plan.

The Basic and Standard plans offer coverage to employees and retired employees on a noncontributory basis. Coverage for dependents under both plans is offered on a fully contributory basis.

## Financial Condition

Revised Financial Projection 2009-11 Biennium - The following summarizes a revised financial projection by conducted by the Plan's consulting actuary, Aon Consulting, for the 2009-11 biennium. The
information is provided by fiscal year based on year-to-date financial experience (through March 2010) and other updated factors.

For the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2009, the Plan began its operations with a beginning cash balance of $\$ 189.9$ million. Receipts for the year are projected to be $\$ 2.41$ billion from net premium collections, $\$ 74.4$ million from Medicare Part D subsidies, and $\$ 3.4$ million from investment earnings for a total of approximately $\$ 2.49$ billion in receipt income for the year. Projected disbursements from the Plan are expected to be $\$ 2.39$ billion in net claim-payment expenses and $\$ 164.1$ million in administration and claims-processing expenses for projected total expenses of nearly $\$ 2.55$ billion for FY 2009-10. The Plan's net operating loss is projected to be approximately $\$ 66.3$ million for the fiscal year, assuming a $9 \%$ annual claims growth trend and an annual premium increase of 8.9\% effective July 1, 2009.

For the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2010, the Plan is projected to begin its operations with a beginning cash balance of $\$ 123.6$ million. Receipts for the year are projected to be $\$ 2.68$ billion from net premium collections, $\$ 56.1$ million from Medicare Part D subsidies, and $\$ 2.7$ million from investment earnings for a total of approximately $\$ 2.73$ billion in receipt income for the year. Projected disbursements from the Plan are expected to be $\$ 2.55$ billion in net claim-payment expenses and $\$ 191.7$ million in administration and claims-processing expenses for projected total expenses of nearly $\$ 2.74$ billion for FY 2010-11. The Plan's net operating loss is projected to be approximately $\$ 7.1$ million for the fiscal year, assuming a $9 \%$ annual claims growth trend and an annual premium increase of 8.9\% effective July 1, 2010.

Based on the revised financial projection (May 2010), the Plan's estimated ending cash balance on June 30, 2011 is projected to be $\$ 116.5$ million. This amount is approximately $\$ 75.7$ million less than the originally projected (April 2009) ending cash balance of $\$ 192.2$ million.

Original Financial Projection 2009-11 Biennium (April 2009) - Session Law 2009-16 (Senate Bill 287) appropriated funds from various sources, authorized annual premium rate increases, made various benefit and provider related changes to achieve financial savings, and directed other various changes to the Plan. The enacted law also appropriated the sum of $\$ 250$ million from the Savings Reserve Account ("Rainy Day Fund") of the General Fund for the 2008-09 fiscal year. The following summarizes the original financial projection by fiscal year for the 2009-11 biennium and assumes the changes enacted in Session Law 200916 (Senate Bill 287).

For the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2009, the Plan was projected to begin its operations with a beginning cash balance of $\$ 146.9$ million. Receipts for the year were projected to be $\$ 2.4$ billion from net premium collections, $\$ 56.3$ million from Medicare Part D subsidies, and $\$ 8.0$ million from investment earnings for a total of approximately $\$ 2.5$ billion in receipt income for the year. Projected disbursements from the Plan were expected to be $\$ 2.3$ billion in net claim-payment expenses and $\$ 185.6$ million in administration and claims-processing expenses for projected total expenses of nearly $\$ 2.5$ billion for FY 2009-10. The Plan's net operating income was projected to be approximately $\$ 14.8$ million for the fiscal year, assuming a 9\% annual claims growth trend and an annual premium increase of 8.9\% effective July 1, 2009.

For the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2010, the Plan was projected to begin its operations with a beginning cash balance of $\$ 161.6$ million. Receipts for the year were projected to be $\$ 2.7$ billion from net premium collections, $\$ 50.4$ million from Medicare Part D subsidies, and $\$ 8.8$ million from investment earnings for a total of approximately $\$ 2.7$ billion in receipt income for the year. Projected disbursements from the Plan were expected to be $\$ 2.5$ billion in net claim-payment expenses and $\$ 191.7$ million in administration and claims-processing expenses for projected total expenses of nearly $\$ 2.7$ billion for FY 2010-11. The Plan's net operating income was projected to be approximately $\$ 30.6$ million for the fiscal year, assuming a 9\% annual claims growth trend and an annual premium increase of 8.9\% effective July 1, 2010.

## Other Information

Historically, the Plan has applied a premium increase in October of the first fiscal year of a biennium. However, the annual premium increases authorized in Session Law 2009-16 (Senate Bill 287) changes that methodology to an annual increase at the beginning of each fiscal year of the 2009-11 biennium.

Additional assumptions include Medicare benefit "carve-outs," cost containment strategies including prior approval for certain medical services, utilization of the "Blue Options" provider network, case and disease management for selected medical conditions, mental health case management, coordination of benefits with other payers, a prescription drug benefit manager with manufacturer rebates from formularies, and fraud detection, and other authorized actions by the Executive Administrator and Board of Trustees to manage the Plan to maintain and improve the Plan's operation and financial condition where possible. Claim cost trends are expected to increase at a rate of $9 \%$ annually according to the Plan’s consulting actuary. Investment earnings are based upon a $4.5 \%$ return on available cash balances.

Enrollment as of December 31, 2009


| II. Enrollment by Contract | Basic | Standard | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Employee Only | 3,252 | 378,539 | 381,791 |
| Employee Child(ren) | 6,026 | 43,820 | 49,846 |
| Employee Spouse | 2,550 | 21,785 | 24,335 |
| Employee Family | 4,288 | 19,741 | 24,029 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 6 , 1 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{4 6 3 , 8 8 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 8 0 , 0 0 1}$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| Percent Enrollment by Contract | Basic | Standard | Total |
| Employee Only | $20.2 \%$ | $81.6 \%$ | $79.5 \%$ |
| Employee Child(ren) | $37.4 \%$ | $9.4 \%$ | $10.4 \%$ |
| Employee Spouse | $15.8 \%$ | $4.7 \%$ | $5.1 \%$ |
| Employee Family | $26.6 \%$ | $4.3 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |


| III. Enrollment by Sex | Basic | Standard | Total |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: |
| Female | 22,479 | 390,209 | 412,688 |
| Male | 19,804 | 228,840 | 248,644 |
| Total | $\mathbf{4 2 , 2 8 3}$ | $\mathbf{6 1 9 , 0 4 9}$ | $\mathbf{6 6 1 , 3 3 2}$ |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Percent Enrollment by Sex | Basic | Standard | Total |
| Female | $53.2 \%$ | $63.0 \%$ | $62.4 \%$ |
| Male | $46.8 \%$ | $37.0 \%$ | $37.6 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |


| IV. Enrollment by Age | Basic | Standard | Total |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: |
| 19 \& Under | 17,315 | 95,431 | 112,746 |
| 20 to 29 | 3,311 | 57,142 | 60,453 |
| 30 to 44 | 9,555 | 120,292 | 129,847 |
| 45 to 54 | 6,455 | 108,447 | 114,902 |
| 55 to 64 | 4,090 | 128,933 | 133,023 |
| $65 \&$ Over | 1,557 | 108,804 | 110,361 |
| Total | $\mathbf{4 2 , 2 8 3}$ | $\mathbf{6 1 9 , 0 4 9}$ | $\mathbf{6 6 1 , 3 3 2}$ |


| Percent Enrollment by Age | Basic | Standard | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 19 \& Under | $41.0 \%$ | $15.4 \%$ | $17.0 \%$ |
| 20 to 29 | $7.8 \%$ | $9.2 \%$ | $9.1 \%$ |
| 30 to 44 | $22.6 \%$ | $19.4 \%$ | $19.6 \%$ |
| 45 to 54 | $15.3 \%$ | $17.5 \%$ | $17.4 \%$ |
| 55 to 64 | $9.7 \%$ | $20.8 \%$ | $20.1 \%$ |
| 65 \& Over | $3.7 \%$ | $17.6 \%$ | $16.7 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |


| V. Retiree Enrollment by Category | Employee | Dependents | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Non-Medicare Eligible | 51,747 | 11,879 | 63,626 |
| Medicare Eligible | 101,722 | 7,509 | 109,231 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 5 3 , 4 6 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 , 3 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 2 , 8 5 7}$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| VI. Enrollment By Major Employer Groups | Employees | Dependents | Total |
| State Agencies | 75,367 | 34,645 | 110,012 |
| UNC System | 50,106 | 29,726 | 79,832 |
| Local Public Schools | 181,270 | 88,258 | 269,528 |
| Local Community Colleges | 14,623 | 7,524 | 22,147 |
| Other |  |  |  |
| Local Goverments | 1,920 | 951 | 2,871 |
| COBRA | 3,241 | 836 | 4,077 |
| Nat. Guard, Fire \& Rescue | 5 | 3 | 8 |
| Sub-total | 5,166 | 1,790 | 6,956 |
| Retirement System | 153,469 | 19,388 | 172,857 |
| Total | $\mathbf{4 8 0 , 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 1 , 3 3 1}$ | $\mathbf{6 6 1 , 3 3 2}$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| Percent Enrollment by Major Employer Groups | Employees | Dependents | Total |
| State Agencies | $15.7 \%$ | $19.1 \%$ | $16.6 \%$ |
| UNC System | $10.4 \%$ | $16.4 \%$ | $12.1 \%$ |
| Local Public Schools |  |  |  |
| Local Community Colleges | $37.8 \%$ | $48.7 \%$ | $40.8 \%$ |
| Other | $3.0 \%$ | $4.1 \%$ | $3.3 \%$ |
| Local Goverments |  |  |  |
| COBRA | $1.1 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $1.1 \%$ |
| Nat. Guard, Fire \& Rescue | $32.0 \%$ | $10.7 \%$ | $26.1 \%$ |
| Sub-total | $0.4 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ |
| Retirement System | $0.0 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ |
| Total |  | $0.0 \%$ |  |

## SOURCES OF DATA:

-Actuarial Note, Hartman \& Associates, "House Bill 2055 Proposed Committee Substitute H2055-CSME18 [v.3]; An Act to Provide That a Local Government That Has Been Submitting Premium Payments For Its Employees to the State Health Plan Is Not Liable For Contributions Owed to the Retiree Health Benefit Fund for a Specified Period", June 25, 2010, an original of which is on file in the General Assembly's Fiscal Research Division.
-Actuarial Note, Aon Consulting, "House Bill 2055 Proposed Committee Substitute H2055-CSME-18 [v.3]", June 28, 2010, an original of which is on file with the State Health Plan for Teachers and State Employees and the General Assembly’s Fiscal Research Division.
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