GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF NORTH CAROLINA SESSION 2011

H HOUSE DRH70136-LM-32 (02/03)

Short Title: Property Owners Protection Act. (Public) Sponsors: Representatives Moffitt, Brubaker, Brawley, and McComas (Primary Sponsors). Referred to:

A BILL TO BE ENTITLED

AN ACT ESTABLISHING THE PROPERTY OWNERS PROTECTION ACT.

Whereas, the North Carolina Supreme Court has repeatedly held that land-use regulations are in derogation of the common law, and therefore are to be strictly construed against the government and liberally construed in favor of the free use of land, and further that any ambiguity in the laws, rules, or regulations should be resolved in favor of the property owner; and

Whereas, the State of North Carolina and local governments within the State regularly employ attorneys and others who are experts in drafting land-use regulations, and they have adequate resources and time to insure that the land-use regulations are clearly drafted without ambiguity so that ordinary citizens may understand the regulations and their effect on the citizens' property; and

Whereas, sometimes overzealous staff interpret and enforce land-use regulations beyond the scope of the law or ordinance that was actually passed by the State or local government and resolve ambiguities in the laws and ordinances against the property owners; and

Whereas, the burden upon the property owner in challenging an illegal or erroneous interpretation of a land-use regulation is severe and may involve great cost and delay; Now, therefore.

The General Assembly of North Carolina enacts:

SECTION 1. The General Statutes are amended by adding a new Chapter to read as follows:

''<u>Chapter 47I.</u>

"Property Owners Protection Act.

"§ 47I-1. Free use of land policy.

1 2

- (a) It is the policy of the State of North Carolina that all statutes, ordinances, rules, and regulations that affect the free use of land shall be strictly construed against the government and liberally construed in favor of the free use of land. Any ambiguity in a land-use statute, ordinance, rule, or regulation shall be resolved in favor of the property owner and the free use of land.
- (b) In every case in which a property owner successfully challenges the construction, interpretation, or enforcement of a statute, ordinance, rule, or regulation that impairs the free use of land policy stated in subsection (a) of this section, the court presiding over the action shall award to the property owner, as costs, the actual attorneys' fees incurred by the property



owner in challenging the illegal or improper construction, interpretation, or enforcement of the statute, ordinance, rule, or regulation.

- (c) If a property owner or other person entitled to claim a common law vested right to complete a development project, notwithstanding a subsequent change of a statute, ordinance, rule, or regulation related to the development project, is required to file a cause of action in the courts of this State to establish the vested right and the court presiding over the action finds that the State or an agency of the State, or the county or municipality involved failed to fairly investigate or provide an inexpensive means to establish the vested right, the court shall award to the property owner, as costs, the actual attorneys' fees incurred by the property owner in bringing the action.
- (d) In any matter related to a land-use statute, ordinance, rule, or regulation, the State or an agency of the State, or the county or municipality involved shall not enforce a penalty, fine, or forfeiture against a property owner for an act not actually committed by the property owner."

SECTION 2. Part 3 of Article 18 of Chapter 153A of the General Statutes is amended by adding a new section to read as follows:

"§ 153A-348.1. Free use of land policy.

The provisions of Chapter 47I of the General Statutes shall apply to counties."

SECTION 3. G.S. 160A-385 reads as rewritten:

"§ 160A-385. Changes.

- (a) Qualified Protests.
 - Zoning ordinances may from time to time be amended, supplemented, changed, modified or repealed. In case, however, of a qualified protest against a zoning map or text amendment, that amendment shall not become effective except by favorable vote of three-fourths of all the members of the city council. For the purposes of this subsection, vacant positions on the council and members who are excused from voting shall not be considered "members of the council" for calculation of the requisite supermajority.
 - (2) To qualify as a protest under this section, the petition must be signed by the owners of either (i) twenty percent (20%) or more of the area included in the proposed change or (ii) five percent (5%) of a 100-foot-wide buffer extending along the entire boundary of each discrete or separate area proposed to be rezoned. A street right-of-way shall not be considered in computing the 100-foot buffer area as long as that street right-of-way is 100 feet wide or less. When less than an entire parcel of land is subject to the proposed zoning map amendment, the 100-foot buffer shall be measured from the property line of that parcel. In the absence of evidence to the contrary, the city may rely on the county tax listing to determine the "owners" of potentially qualifying areas.
 - (3) The foregoing provisions concerning protests shall not be applicable to any amendment which initially zones property added to the territorial coverage of the ordinance as a result of annexation or otherwise, or to an amendment to an adopted (i) special use district, (ii) conditional use district, or (iii) conditional district if the amendment does not change the types of uses that are permitted within the district or increase change the approved density for residential development, or increase change the total approved size of nonresidential development, or reduce change the size of any buffers or screening approved for the special use district, conditional use district, or conditional district.
- (b) Amendments in zoning ordinances shall not be applicable or enforceable without consent of the owner with regard to buildings and uses for which either either: (i) building permits have been issued pursuant to G.S. 160A-417 prior to the enactment of the ordinance

Page 2 H652 [Filed]

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

making the change or changes so long as the permits remain valid and unexpired pursuant to G.S. 160A-418 and unrevoked pursuant to G.S. 160A-422 or (ii) G.S. 160A-422; (ii) a vested right has been established pursuant to G.S. 160A-385.1 and such vested right remains valid and unexpired pursuant to G.S. 160A-385.1. or (iii) common law vested rights are applicable."

SECTION 4. Part 3 of Article 19 of Chapter 160A of the General Statutes is amended by adding a new section to read as follows:

"§ 160A-394.1. Free use of land policy.

The provisions of Chapter 47I of the General Statutes shall apply to cities."

SECTION 5. This act becomes effective October 1, 2011.

H652 [Filed] Page 3