GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF NORTH CAROLINA

Session 2007

Legislative Incarceration Fiscal Note

(G.S. 120-36.7)

BILL NUMBER: Senate Bill 1270 (Third Edition)

SHORT TITLE: Amend Larceny Laws.

SPONSOR(S): Senator Snow

		FISCAL IM	PACT		
	Yes (X)	No()	No Estimate Available ()		
	FY 2007-08	FY 2008-09	FY 2009-10	FY 2010-11	FY 2011-12
GENERAL FUND					
	Minimum prison capital and operating costs, based on conviction thresholds. See pp. 3-5, Assumptions and Methodology.				
Recurring*	-	\$ 254,252	\$ 523,759	\$539,472	\$ 555,656
Nonrecurring*	\$ 1,224,720	(18 prison beds)	-	-	-
*Assumes prison bed co impact and minimum o					
Correction: DCC	Amount canr	not be determine	d: see Assumptio	ons and Methodo	logy (pp. 4-6).
Judicial			., 500 1155 daniput		
TOTAL	Exact amount ca \$1.2m for FY 200	nnot be determi	ned. Minimum j	orison costs coul	
TOTAL		nnot be determi	ned. Minimum j	orison costs coul	
TOTAL EXPENDITURES: ADDITIONAL PRISON BEDS:		nnot be determin 07-08 and \$254,2	ned. Minimum j 52 for FY 08-09.	orison costs coul	
TOTAL EXPENDITURES: ADDITIONAL PRISON BEDS: (cumulative)* POSITIONS:		nnot be determin 07-08 and \$254,2	ned. Minimum j 52 for FY 08-09.	orison costs coul	
TOTAL EXPENDITURES: ADDITIONAL PRISON BEDS: (cumulative)* POSITIONS: (cumulative)	\$1.2m for FY 200 - -	nnot be determin 07-08 and \$254,2 9	ned. Minimum j 52 for FY 08-09. 18	orison costs could - -	d approach - -

BILL SUMMARY: The proposed committee substitute for S.B. 1270 creates and expands several criminal offenses. A brief synopsis of each section is provided below:

This fiscal analysis is independent of the impact of other criminal penalty bills being considered by the General Assembly, which could also increase the projected prison population and thus the availability of prison beds in future years. The Fiscal Research Division is tracking the cumulative effect of all criminal penalty bills on the

prison system as well as the Judicial Department.

Section 1: Amends G.S. 14-71 (receiving stolen goods) to provide that the offense of receiving stolen goods occurs *whether or not an item has been feloniously stolen or taken*. This change is assumed to expand the current offense, including all persons who receive stolen goods, even if the goods are not stolen at all. However, the receiver must know or have "reasonable grounds" to believe the item has been stolen or taken.

Section 2: Rewrites G.S. 14-72 (adds new subdivision (b)(6)) to create six new Class H felony offenses, involving *larceny from a merchant*.

New subsubdivision (6)(a). Makes it a Class H felony offense to *commit larceny from a merchant* by using an exit door maintained in compliance with OSHA regulations (29 C.F.R. 1910, Subpart E), on which has been placed a notice, sign, or poster that provides information about the felony offense and punishment.

New subsubdivision (6)(b). Makes it a Class H felony offense to commit larceny from a merchant by "removing, destroying, or deactivating any component of an anti-shoplifting or inventory-control device to prevent the activation..."

New subsubdivision (6)(c). Makes it a Class H felony offense to commit larceny from a merchant by "affixing a product code obtained or created by the person for the purpose of fraudulently obtaining goods or merchandise from a merchant at less than its actual sale price."

New subsubdivision (6)(d). Makes it a Class H felony offense to commit larceny from a merchant when the property is infant formula (as defined in 21 U.S.C. 321(z)) valued in excess of \$100.

New subsubdivision (6)(e). Makes it a Class H felony offense to commit larceny from a merchant when the value of the property is more than \$500, and the larceny is the result of a conspiracy between two or more individuals.

<u>Amended subsection (c)</u>. Makes it a Class H felony offense to receive or possess stolen goods, knowing or having reasonable grounds to believe them to be stolen in violation of <u>new subsection (b)</u>.

Section 3: Adds new Article 16A ("Organized Retail Theft") to Chapter 14, which creates two new offenses under new G.S. 14-86.6:

- 1. Makes it a Class G felony for a person to conspire with another to commit the theft of retail property, valued in excess of \$1,500 (aggregated) over a 90-day period, with the intent to sell that property, and who takes or causes that property to be placed in the control of a retail property fence (as defined under new G.S. 14-86.5) or other person.
- 2. Makes it a Class G felony to receive or possess, with the intent to distribute, any retail property into interstate commerce that has been taken/stolen in violation of G.S. 14-86.6.

G.S. 14-86.6 also provides that a violator must forfeit any interest acquired or maintained to the State, and that criminal actions may be initiated in any county in which at least one offense has occurred; however, it states the intent of the General Assembly is for one State court to have jurisdiction over all interrelated organized retail theft offenses.

Section 4: Provides that S.B. 1270 becomes effective December 1, 2007, and applies to offenses committed on or after that date.

Source: Adapted from N.C. Sentencing and Policy Advisory Commission Analysis

ASSUMPTIONS AND METHODOLOGY: S.B. 1270 creates several new offenses, and revises some existing offenses to include new, unique elements. Consequently, there is no historical data from which to estimate the number of additional violations, charges, convictions, prosecutorial and/or

sentencing changes. It is not known how these new offenses and proposed offense expansions may impact the criminal justice system. Nevertheless, <u>based on current resources</u>, <u>any resultant</u>, <u>additional charge and/or conviction will generate some fiscal impact for the Courts and Corrections</u>. The potential impacts of these offenses are outlined in the following sections.

Department of Correction – Division of Prisons

Due to the current and projected prison bed deficit, <u>any new felony conviction that results in an active sentence will require an additional prison bed</u>. Therefore, while the numbers of potential convictions and active sentences for the new and expanded offenses are indeterminate, some additional bed provision (construction and operation) is expected. The extent of such impact is unknown; however, the high frequency of larceny offenses (as indicated by prior year charges and convictions) suggests that a significant number of new felony convictions *could* result.

The pool of persons eligible for conviction of the Class H felony offenses under proposed G.S. 14-72(b)(6)a through e (Section 2) includes those who might otherwise be convicted of misdemeanor larceny under current law (G.S. 14-72(a); Class 1 misdemeanor). Similarly, the eligible pool for the proposed Class G felony offense (G.S. 14-86.6(b)) of receiving/possessing stolen goods includes a portion of those otherwise eligible for conviction of misdemeanor or felony receiving/possessing (G.S. 14-72; Class 1 misdemeanor and Class H felony, respectively). However, the eligible pools for the expanded Class H felony offense of receiving stolen goods (Section 1; G.S. 14-71) and new Class G felony conspiracy offense (Section 3; G.S. 14-86.6(a)) are unknown.

Table I identifies the number of FY 2005-06 convictions for these current, related offenses.

Table I. Larceny Offenses & Potentially Eligible Conviction Pools					
Offense Description	Current Offense Class	FY 2005/06 Convictions			
Felony larceny Offenses	Н	1,171			
Attempted felony larceny	I	68			
Felony receive stolen goods/property	Н	7			
Felony possess stolen goods/property	Н	713			
	Total felony convictions:	1,959			
Misdemeanor larceny offenses	1	14,544			
Aid & Abet misdemeanor larceny	1	58			
Attempted misdemeanor larceny	2	179			
Misdemeanor receive stolen goods/property	1	28			
Misdemeanor possess stolen goods/property	1	2,080			
	Total misdemeanor convictions:	16,889			

With the exclusion of the proposed Class G felony offense, no effect is assumed for offenses which would now qualify as felony (Class H) larceny, receiving, or possessing offenses under current law (G.S. 14-72; those involving property valued above \$1,000, or listed under subsection (b)). Rather, the proposed offenses would primarily enhance otherwise Class 1 misdemeanor larceny and receiving/possessing offenses to Class H felony offenses; additionally, some otherwise Class 1 misdemeanor or Class H felony offenses could also be enhanced to the Class G felony level (G.S. 14-

Senate Bill 1270 (Third Edition)

3

¹ The Sentencing and Policy Advisory Commission analyses each bill that creates or alters a criminal offense, and examines its impact relative to the Commission's annual prison population projections. The Commission assumes for such bills that expanding existing or creating new criminal offenses has no deterrent or incapacitative effect on crime. Accordingly, the Fiscal Research Division does not assume deterrent effects for any criminal penalty bill.

86.6(b)).² Furthermore, per G.S. 14-2.5, offenses constituting the *attempted* commission of these Class H felony offenses could also be enhanced from the Class 2 misdemeanor to the Class I felony level (because attempted commission of these offenses could also constitute shoplifting, this impact is excluded from the analysis). Lastly, additional Class H convictions could occur for the proposed, expanded offense of receiving stolen goods (G.S. 14-71); similarly, additional Class G convictions could occur for the new offense of conspiring to commit organized retail theft.

Table II provides a brief summary of each offense, and its *potential* impact – the table depicts the *minimum number of convictions required to generate the need for an additional prison bed.* FY 09-10 bed requirements account for probation revocations and new offenses.

Table II. Proposed Criminal Offenses & Minimum Prison Bed Requirements						
Class	Active %	Avg. Time	Convictions	FY 08-09	FY 09-10	
		Served		Min. Beds	Min. Beds	
Н	34%	11 mo.	3	1	2	
Section 1. Amenda	s G.S. 14-71 (receivi	ing stolen goods) to	provide that the offer	nse of receiving stole	en goods occurs	
whether or not an i	whether or not an item has been feloniously stolen or taken. The proposed change is assumed to expand the pool of					
offenders subject to	o the current Class H	I felony penalty, then	eby resulting in add	itional Class H felon	y convictions.	
Н	34%	11 mo.	3	1	2	
Section 2. New G.	S. 14-72 (b)(6)a mal	kes it a Class H felor	ny offense to commit	t larceny by using an	exit door	
	pliance with OSHA 1				signage is present.	
Enhances otherwis	e misdemeanor larce	eny offenses (Class 1) to Class H felonies	<u>S.</u>		
H	34%	11 mo.	3	1	2	
Section 2. New G.	S. 14-72 (b)(6)b mal	kes it a Class H felor	ny offense to commit	t larceny from a mer	chant by	
"removing, destroy	ying, or deactivating	any component of a	n anti-shoplifting or	inventory-control de	evice to prevent the	
activation" Enh	ances otherwise mis	demeanor larceny of	ffenses (Class 1) to C	Class H felonies.	·	
Н	34%	11 mo.	3	1	2	
Section 2. New G.	S. 14-72 (b)(6)c mal	kes it a Class H felor	ny offense to commit	larceny from a mer	chant by "affixing	
a product code obtained or created by the person for the purpose of fraudulently obtaining goods or merchandise from						
a merchant at less	than its actual sale p	orice." Enhances otl	herwise misdemeand	or larceny offenses (C	Class 1) to Class H	
felonies.						
H	34%	11 mo.	3	1	2	
Section 2. New G.	S. 14-72 (b)(6)d mal	kes it a Class H felor	ny offense to <i>commit</i>	larceny from a mer	chant when the	
Section 2. New G.S. 14-72 (b)(6)d makes it a Class H felony offense to <i>commit larceny from a merchant when the property is infant formula</i> (as defined in 21 U.S.C. 321(z)) <i>valued in excess of \$100</i> . Enhances otherwise						
misdemeanor larceny offenses (Class 1) to Class H felonies.						
Н	34%	11 mo.	3	1	2	
Section 2. New G.S. 14-72 (b)(6)e makes it a Class H felony offense to commit larceny from a merchant when the						
value of the property is more than \$500, and the larceny is the result of a conspiracy between two or more individuals.						
Enhances otherwise misdemeanor larceny offenses (Class 1) to Class H felonies.						
H	34%	11 mo.	3	1	2	
			ony offense to receive	e or possess stolen g	oods, knowing or	
Section 2. Amended G.S. 14-72 (c) makes it a Class H felony offense to receive or possess stolen goods, knowing or having reasonable grounds to believe them to be stolen in violation of new subsection (b). Enhances otherwise						
misdemeanor larceny offenses (Class 1) to Class H felonies.						
G	42%	16 mo.	2	1	2	
	12/0	10 1110	_	_		

² 20% of Class 1 misdemeanor convictions resulted in active sentences in FY 2005-06, with an average time served of 31 days.

Active sentences between 1-90 days are served in local jails. The Department of Correction reimburses counties \$18 for each day that offenders are housed longer than 30 days (between 30 and 90). Sentences longer than 90 days are to be served in state prison; however, when bed shortages demand it, the State may lease needed beds from counties.

Section 3. New G.S. 14-86.6(a) makes it a Class G felony for a person to conspire to commit the theft of retail property valued in excess of \$1,500 (aggregated) over a 90-day period, with the intent to sell that property, and who takes or causes that property to be placed in the control of a retail property fence (as defined under new G.S. 14-86.5) or other person. Anticipated to result in additional Class G felony convictions.

G 42% 16 mo. 2 1 2

Section 3. New G.S. 14-86.6(b) makes it a Class G felony to receive or possess, with the intent to distribute, any retail property that has been taken/stolen in violation of G.S. 14-86.6. Enhances otherwise Class 1 misdemeanor or Class H felony offenses.

As shown, assuming these conviction thresholds (25 total convictions), $\underline{9}$ additional prison beds would be required by FY 2008-09; $\underline{18}$ by FY 2009-10; and $\underline{7}$ new positions by FY 2009-10.³ Assuming inmate assignment to medium custody, the construction of the required beds within a new, stand alone facility could cost the State $\underline{\$1,224,720}$ in FY 2007/08; whereas, bed construction within an add-on facility could cost approximately $\underline{\$758,160}$.⁴ These costs are attributed to FY 2007-08 since the construction of additional prison beds, whether within an add-on or stand-alone facility, requires budgeting at least three years in advance. Potential operating costs could total $\underline{\$254,252}$ in FY 2008/09, and $\underline{\$523,759}$ in FY 2009-10.⁵

* Additional prison population (bed) impact and minimum capital and operating costs cannot be projected beyond the two year window. An annual inflation rate of 3% is applied to FY 2009-10 prison bed operating costs beyond the initial two years. Prison Bed construction and operating costs are depicted in the Fiscal Impact Table on page 1.

Department of Correction – Division of Community Corrections

Although an increased rate of active sentencing is expected, the proposed penalty enhancement is also expected to increase the rate of intermediate sanctioning and length of offender supervision for affected convictions. Consequently, any increase in intermediate sanctioning and supervision length could necessitate additional supervisory officers. Presently, the estimated total position cost of an intermediate officer is approximately \$41,643.

However, it is not known how many offenders would be sentenced to intermediate or community punishments, to which type, or for how long. In FY 2005-06, for all offenses and prior record levels, approximately 66% of Class H convictions resulted in either intermediate or community sentences, predominately special, intensive, or general supervision probation. A brief summary of DCC supervisory costs (daily, per offender) is included below:

• General supervision of intermediate and community offenders by a probation officer costs DCC \$1.96 per offender, per day; no cost is assumed for those receiving unsupervised probation, or who are ordered only to pay fines, fees, or restitution.

³ Position total includes security, program, and administrative personnel at a ratio of approximately one employee for every 2.5 inmates. This ratio is the combined average of the last seven prisons opened by DOC – two of the prisons were medium custody and five were close custody.

⁴ New, "stand alone" institution built for Expanded Operating Capacity (EOC); single cells are assumed for close custody, and dormitories are assumed for medium and minimum custody (occupancy no greater than 130% of SOC).

[&]quot;Add-on" facilities (close and medium custody) are built within the perimeter of an existing 1,000-cell Close Security Institution; a minimum custody "add-on" is built adjacent to an existing perimeter. "Add-on" facilities employ the same EOC custody configurations as "stand alone" (i.e. single cells for close custody, and dorms for medium and minimum custody levels).

⁵ Impact on incarcerated population is assumed for FY 2008-09, given the effective date of December 1, 2007 and typical lag time between charge and conviction (6 months).

- The daily cost per offender on intermediate sanction is much higher, ranging from \$7.71 to \$14.97 depending on the type of sanction.
- Intensive supervision probation is the most frequently used intermediate sanction, and costs an estimated \$14.97 per offender, per day; on average, intensive supervision lasts six-months, with general supervision assumed for a designated period thereafter.

Judicial Branch

It is not known how many additional criminal charges might occur, or how prosecution tactics would differ in response to the proposed offenses. Accordingly, the potential fiscal impact on the Courts is indeterminate. However, the <u>high frequency of prior year larceny-related charges suggests that a significant number of felony charges *could* result. Data for calendar year 2006 indicates that <u>29,221</u> defendants were charged with misdemeanor larceny under G.S. 14-72(a), and that <u>402</u> defendants were charged with misdemeanor attempted larceny.</u>

The Administrative Office of the Courts expects that any additional caseload will increase court-time requirements and the associated costs of case disposal. The table below illustrates the estimated costs for criminal cases, by trial and guilty plea, for the affected offense classes. Effectively enhancing otherwise Classes 1 or 2 misdemeanors to Classes G, H, or I felonies will elevate future cases to superior court, rather than district court. This elevation will increase jury involvement and workloads for district attorneys, superior court judges, clerks, court reporters, and indigent defense counsel.

Table III. AOC Cost Estimates per Trial and Plea: FY 2007-08					
Offense Class	Trial	Plea			
Class 2 Misdemeanor	\$2,770	\$230			
Class 1 Misdemeanor	\$3,702	\$243			
Class I Felony	\$6,980	\$298			
Class H Felony	\$7,345	\$325			
Class G Felony	\$9,310	\$520			

SOURCES OF DATA: Department of Correction; Judicial Branch; North Carolina Sentencing and Policy Advisory Commission; and Office of State Construction.

TECHNICAL CONSIDERATIONS: None

FISCAL RESEARCH DIVISION: (919) 733-4910

PREPARED BY: Bryce Ball and Jim Mills

APPROVED BY: Lynn Muchmore, Director

Fiscal Research Division

DATE: June 29, 2007



Signed Copy Located in the NCGA Principal Clerk's Offices

⁶ AOC does not maintain offense codes for the receiving or possessing of stolen goods under G.S. 14-72(a); nor are offense codes maintained for the attempted receiving or possessing of stolen goods. CY 2006 data also shows 7,163 defendants charged with felony larceny, and 368 charges with felony attempted larceny.