

**GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF NORTH CAROLINA
SESSION 2003**

S

1

SENATE BILL 593

Short Title: Extend Swine Moratoria. (Public)

Sponsors: Senators Albertson; Allran, Apodaca, Bingham, Blake, Brock, Carrington, Clodfelter, Dalton, Dorsett, Forrester, Foxx, Garrou, Garwood, Gulley, Hagan, Hargett, Hartsell, Horton, Jenkins, Kinnaird, Lucas, Malone, Moore, Purcell, Queen, Rand, Rucho, Shaw, Shubert, Sloan, Smith, Soles, Stevens, Swindell, Thomas, Tillman, Webster, and Weinstein.

Referred to: Agriculture/Environment/Natural Resources.

March 31, 2003

A BILL TO BE ENTITLED

1 AN ACT TO EXTEND THE MORATORIA ON CONSTRUCTION OR EXPANSION
2 OF SWINE FARMS.
3

4 Whereas, the 1997 General Assembly established moratoria on the
5 construction or expansion of certain swine farms and on lagoons and animal waste
6 management systems for certain swine farms; and

7 Whereas, one of the original purposes of these moratoria was to allow
8 completion of certain studies related to swine farms and animal waste management
9 systems; and

10 Whereas, the 1998 General Assembly extended these moratoria and
11 established exceptions for animal waste management systems that meet certain
12 performance standards; and

13 Whereas, the 1999 General Assembly and the 2001 General Assembly further
14 extended the moratoria so that moratoria have remained in effect continuously since 1
15 March 1997; and

16 Whereas, on 25 July 2000, the Attorney General of North Carolina entered
17 into an agreement with Smithfield Foods, Incorporated, and certain other companies;
18 and

19 Whereas, on 29 September 2000, the Attorney General of North Carolina
20 entered into an agreement with Premium Standard Farms, Incorporated, and certain
21 other companies; and

22 Whereas, on 13 March 2002, the Attorney General of North Carolina entered
23 into an agreement with Frontline Farmers, Incorporated; and

1 Whereas, the companies that are parties to these agreements constitute a
2 significant portion of the swine production capacity of the State; and

3 Whereas, these agreements commit the companies that are parties to these
4 agreements to work cooperatively to develop and implement animal waste management
5 technologies that meet the performance standards established by the General Assembly;
6 and

7 Whereas, the companies that are parties to these agreements have agreed to
8 provide substantial resources to assist the State in the development and implementation
9 of animal waste management technologies that meet the performance standards
10 established by the General Assembly and that are economically feasible; and

11 Whereas, the Animal and Waste Poultry Waste Management Center at North
12 Carolina State University is currently evaluating a number of animal waste management
13 technologies in order to identify one or more technologies that meet the performance
14 standards established by the General Assembly and that are economically feasible, as
15 provided in the Smithfield and related agreements; and

16 Whereas, on 28 January 2003, the Environmental Review Commission
17 received a report from the Animal and Waste Poultry Waste Management Center on
18 progress in the evaluation of animal waste management technologies; and

19 Whereas, based on this report, it appears that additional time will be needed
20 to complete the evaluation of all technologies currently being evaluated; and

21 Whereas, it also appears that the General Assembly will need some time to
22 consider the results of this evaluation process once it has been completed and to enact
23 whatever legislation it determines to be appropriate; and

24 Whereas, it further appears that some time may be required for the
25 implementation of any legislation that may be enacted by the General Assembly; Now,
26 therefore,

27 The General Assembly of North Carolina enacts:

28 **SECTION 1.** Subsection (a1) of Section 1.1 of S.L. 1997-458, as amended
29 by Section 2 of S.L. 1998-188, Section 2.1 of S.L. 1999-329, and Section 1 of S.L.
30 2001-254, reads as rewritten:

31 "(a1) There is hereby established a moratorium on the construction or expansion of
32 swine farms and on lagoons and animal waste management systems for swine farms.
33 The purposes of this moratorium are ~~to allow counties time to adopt zoning ordinances~~
34 ~~under G.S. 153A-340, as amended by Section 2.1 of this act; to allow time for the~~
35 ~~completion of the studies authorized by the 1995 General Assembly (1996 Second Extra~~
36 ~~Session); and to allow the 1999 to allow time for the completion of ongoing evaluations~~
37 of animal waste management technologies and related research and studies; to allow the
38 General Assembly to receive and act on the findings and recommendations of those
39 studies, evaluations, research, and studies; and to allow for the implementation of any
40 legislation that may be enacted. Except as provided in subsection (b) of this section, the
41 Environmental Management Commission shall not issue a permit for an animal waste
42 management system for a new swine farm or the expansion of an existing swine farm
43 for a period beginning on 1 March 1997 and ending on 1 September ~~2003-2005~~. The
44 construction or expansion of a swine farm or animal waste management system for a

1 swine farm is prohibited during the period of the moratorium regardless of the date on
2 which a site evaluation for the swine farm is completed and regardless of whether the
3 animal waste management system is permitted under G.S. 143-215.1 or Part 1A of
4 Article 21 of Chapter 143 of the General Statutes or deemed permitted under 15A North
5 Carolina Administrative Code 2H.0217."

6 **SECTION 2.** Section 1.2 of S.L. 1997-458, as amended by Section 3 of S.L.
7 1998-188, Section 2.2 of S.L. 1999-329, and Section 2 of S.L. 2001-254, reads as
8 rewritten:

9 "Section 1.2. (a) As used in this section, 'swine farm' and 'lagoon' have the same
10 meaning as in G.S. 106-802. As used in this section, 'animal waste management system'
11 has the same meaning as in G.S. 143-215.10B. There is hereby established a
12 moratorium for any new or expanding swine farm or lagoon for which a permit is
13 required under Parts 1 or 1A of Article 21 of Chapter 143 of the General Statutes in any
14 county in the State: (i) that has a population of less than 75,000 according to the most
15 recent decennial federal census; (ii) in which there is more than one hundred fifty
16 million dollars (\$150,000,000) of expenditures for travel and tourism based on the most
17 recent figures of the Department of Commerce; and (iii) that is not in the coastal area as
18 defined by G.S. 113A-103. Effective 1 January 1997, until 1 September ~~2003~~,2005, the
19 Environmental Management Commission shall not issue a permit for an animal waste
20 management system, as defined in G.S. 143-215.10B, or for a new or expanded swine
21 farm or lagoon, as defined in G.S. 106-802. The exemptions set out in subsection (b) of
22 Section 1.1 of this act do not apply to the moratorium established under this section.

23 (b) In order to protect travel and tourism, effective 1 September ~~2003~~,2005, no
24 animal waste management system shall be permitted except under an individual permit
25 issued under Part 1 of Article 21 of Chapter 143 of the General Statutes in any county in
26 the State: (i) that has a population of less than 75,000 according to the most recent
27 decennial federal census; (ii) in which there is more than one hundred fifty million
28 dollars (\$150,000,000) of expenditures for travel and tourism based on the most recent
29 figures of the Department of Commerce; and (iii) that is not in the coastal area as
30 defined by G.S. 113A-103."

31 **SECTION 3.** If any section or provision of this act is declared
32 unconstitutional or invalid by the courts, the unconstitutional or invalid section or
33 provision does not affect the validity of this act as a whole or any part of this act other
34 than the part declared to be unconstitutional or invalid.

35 **SECTION 4.** This act is effective when it becomes law.