#### GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF NORTH CAROLINA

#### **SESSION 1991**

S 1

#### SENATE BILL 446

Short Title: Student Achievement Act of 1991.	(Public)
Sponsors: Senators Perdue and Royall.	
Referred to: Education.	

### April 1, 1991

#### A BILL TO BE ENTITLED

AN ACT TO PROVIDE THE STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT ACT OF 1991.

Whereas, in response to North Carolina's 1988 last place national ranking on SAT scores, the State Superintendent of Public Instruction appointed the Task Force on Excellence in Secondary Education; and

Whereas, the Task Force, which was chaired by the Honorable L. Richardson Preyer and comprised of distinguished educators, businessmen, legislators, and other leaders from throughout the State, found that North Carolina public education is in crisis; and

Whereas, the Task Force found that because our education system has not changed along with the times, public education's structural problems are numerous and complex; and

Whereas, the Task Force, under the leadership of the Honorable L. Richardson Preyer, addressed the need to restructure our schools through the design and implementation of results-oriented, outcome-based education programs; and

Whereas, the Task Force found that the best people to restructure our schools are those at the local level, including local administrators, teachers, and school board members and that the State should provide these people with the autonomy to perform this task; and

Whereas, the Task Force recognized that the design and implementation of results-oriented, outcome-based education programs will require shifts in educator and parent attitudes, massive staff and local board retraining, and intense professional and personal involvement of all participants; Now, therefore,

The General Assembly of North Carolina enacts:

Section 1. Title of Act. – This act may be referred to as the "Student Achievement Act of 1991".

Sec. 2. Outcome-Based Education Program. – Article 16 of Chapter 115C of the General Statutes is amended by adding a new Part to read:

### "PART 5. OUTCOME-BASED EDUCATION PROGRAM.

### **"§ 115C-238.12. Purpose of program.**

1 2

 An outcome-based education program is a program in which the expectations for student achievement at different points in the learning process and on high school graduation are clearly stated in terms of knowledge, skills, and attitudes. Students are given opportunities to acquire knowledge and to develop skills and attitudes. Learning opportunities vary for groups of students and reflect the fact that students learn at different rates and use different learning styles. Teachers decide when students' proficiencies in knowledge and skills should be measured. The results of those measurements are used to determine when the students have mastered the material and are ready to move forward in the learning process.

## "§ 115C-238.13. Implementation of the project by the State Board of Education.

- (a) The State Board of Education shall develop and implement an outcome-based education program. The State Board of Education shall select four sites to participate in the program for five fiscal years beginning with the 1992-93 fiscal year. The first year of the project shall be a year for the sites to plan their projects. The remaining four years shall be to implement the projects and to demonstrate their effectiveness.
- (b) The State Board of Education shall adopt proficiencies, or expectations for student achievement, necessary for students to function successfully in the next century. These proficiencies shall be consistent with national education goals recommended by the National Governors' Association in 1990. The State Board also shall adopt a core of proficiencies that is required for graduation from high school. These proficiencies shall be adopted prior to June 15, 1992, and shall be used by the sites to develop their local outcome-based education projects.

The core of proficiencies that is required for graduation from high school may include the following proficiencies:

- (1) Writing High school graduates will be able to organize complex, demanding, or extended subject matter clearly and effectively. They will produce well-structured pieces of writing in which the relationships between successive paragraphs are signaled by appropriate connective words or phrases. They will punctuate their writing so that meaning and structure are clear.
- (2) Reading High school graduates will be able to make independent and discriminating selection from a range of reference materials, retrieve information from them using techniques such as skimming, evaluate and synthesize information from different parts of a text or different texts.
- (3) Mathematics High school graduates will be able to present a set of complex data in a simplified form using a variety of diagrams and graphs.

(c) The State Board of Education, after consultation with the Board of Governors of The University of North Carolina, the State Board of Community Colleges, and representatives of the business community, shall establish multiple sets of proficiencies applicable to high school graduates. These proficiencies shall be in addition to the core of proficiencies for high school graduation adopted pursuant to subsection (b) of this section. These multiple sets of proficiencies shall include proficiencies appropriate for students who intend to enroll in a constituent institution of The University of North Carolina or a constituent institution of the Community College System. These multiple sets of proficiencies shall be established prior to June 15, 1992, and shall be used by the sites to develop their local outcome-based education projects.

The State Board of Education, the Board of Governors of The University of North Carolina, and the State Board of Community Colleges shall work jointly to develop a mechanism by which the institutions of higher education accept certification of proficiencies on high school transcripts in lieu of Carnegie units.

### **"§ 115C-238.14. Selection of sites.**

- (a) Prior to October 10, 1991, the State Board of Education shall develop a competitive process for the selection of project sites.
- (b) Prior to November 30, 1991, the Department of Public Instruction shall initiate the competitive process for the selection of project sites and shall conduct regional briefings for local school administrative units interested in submitting proposals. The regional briefings shall provide detailed information about outcome-based education models so local school administrative units can decide whether to compete for selection as a project site.
- (c) Prior to February 29, 1992, local school administrative units shall submit their proposals to the Department of Public Instruction. The proposal may cover all or part of the schools in a local school administrative unit.

The proposal shall include information regarding the local school administrative unit's plan for, ability to, and commitment to complying with the following requirements for local programs:

- (1) The program shall be research-based or include applied research as an integral part.
- (2) The program shall ensure that all students have access to a common core of knowledge and that all students are treated equitably.
- (3) Student advancement shall be based on the mastery of the proficiencies adopted by the State Board of Education pursuant to G.S. 115C-238.13(b) and the multiple sets of proficiencies applicable to high school graduates established pursuant to G.S. 115C-238.13(c).
- (4) Students shall be allowed to progress at different rates; however, expectations for progress shall be based on the goal that all students master the proficiencies required for high school graduation during grades kindergarten through twelve. Computer assisted, personal education plans shall be available for every student.
- (5) Parents and guardians shall be involved in students' selection of high school completion options.

- 1 (6) Programs shall emphasize an integrated curriculum approach to teaching.
  - (7) Teachers and principals shall have a major role in development of local projects.
  - (8) Programs shall provide each student a school-based adult advocate to foster self-esteem, protect learning options, ensure that student needs are being met, and ensure that students are being treated equitably.
  - (9) Projects shall be shared with the public. Annual reports describing program goals, activities, and accomplishments shall be made available to the public. The reports shall contain specific information regarding the contributions of teachers, administrators, and the local board of education to the program, and to student progress under the program.
  - (d) The Department of Public Instruction shall review the proposals and shall submit its recommendations regarding the sites to the State Board of Education prior to April 30, 1992. The Department of Public Instruction shall involve an advisory committee appointed by the State Board of Education and comprised of business leaders, legislators, and educators in the review process.
  - (e) The State Board of Education shall select the project sites prior to June 15, 1992. The State Board shall base its decision on the local school administrative units' plans for, ability to, and commitment to complying with the requirements for local programs set out in subsection (c) of this section.

### "§ 115C-238.15. Development of local programs by the project sites.

- (a) From June 15, 1992, through March 15, 1993, the project sites shall develop their local programs. On March 15, 1993, the sites shall submit their plans to the Department of Public Instruction for review. Prior to May 5, 1993, the Department shall review the plans and work with the sites to assure that the plans carry out the provisions of this Part.
- (b) The Department of Public Instruction shall provide technical assistance to the sites in developing their local programs. As part of this technical assistance, the Department shall assist each site in determining the order in which that site shall teach the proficiencies adopted by the State Board of Education pursuant to G.S. 115C-238.13(b). The Department shall also assist each site in developing ways to assess and measure progress in student mastery of those proficiencies.
- (c) In developing its local plan, each local school administrative unit shall select the outcome-based education model that it follows. Each local school administrative unit shall determine the instructional programs and strategies used in developing student proficiencies at its site. Under the plan, teachers shall determine when the proficiencies of a group of students are assessed; provided, however, State-administered tests shall be used to test proficiencies at a site no more than four times a year. Student advancement shall be determined by a team of teachers assigned to oversee the instructional program of a group of students.
- (d) <u>In developing and administering local projects, local boards of education</u> need broad decision-making authority so that teachers and administrators at the sites can

experiment with the instructional activities that meet the instructional needs in that particular setting. Each local school administrative unit shall set forth in its plan, with specificity, those aspects of the plan that would be enhanced by flexibility with regard to statutes and regulations. The State Board of Education shall grant each local school administrative unit this flexibility with regard to statutes and regulations (i) so long as the projects and activities are carried out within total funds available for that purpose, or (ii) so long as the State Board of Education does not find as a fact that the discretionary authority contained in this act is being abused.

The State Board of Education shall report potential flexibility with regard to statutes and regulations contained in any projects or proposed changes to projects to the Joint Legislative Commission on Governmental Operations.

- (e) Local projects may include model accountability programs that meet the needs of the project sites. To the extent that the State Board of Education finds that these accountability programs provide sufficient data for oversight, they may be used instead of other State-mandated programs.
- (f) Local projects shall include plans to train and retrain teachers, administrators, and school board members to implement the projects.

## "§ 115C-238.16. Approval and implementation of plans.

- (a) Between March 15, 1993, and July 1, 1993, the State Board of Education shall receive plans for projects from the project sites. The State Board may also receive the comments of the Department of Public Instruction regarding the projects.
- (b) Prior to July 1, 1993, the State Board of Education shall approve the plans for the projects, approve the plans with modifications, or reject the plans.
- (c) The project sites shall begin implementation immediately of projects approved, or approved with modifications, by the State Board.

# '\\$ 115C-238.17. Annual assessment and reapproval of plans.

- (a) Between March 15 and May 15 of each subsequent year of the project, the projects shall submit to the Department of Public Instruction any data specified by the State Board of Education and any proposed changes in the projects. Prior to May 5 each year, the Department shall review the data and the proposed changes in the plans for the projects and shall work with the project sites to assure that the plans carry out the provisions of this Part.
- (b) Between March 15 and July 1, the State Board of Education shall receive the data requested and the proposed changes in plans for projects from the project sites. The State Board may also receive the comments of the Department of Public Instruction regarding data and the proposed changes in the projects. The State Board shall also consider the results of audits and evaluations performed pursuant to G.S. 115C-238.18.
- (b) Prior to July 1, the State Board of Education shall reapprove the plans and any changes for the projects, reapprove the plans and any changes with modifications, or reject the plans.
- 41 (c) The project sites shall begin implementation immediately of projects 42 reapproved, or reapproved with modifications, by the State Board.

## "§ 115C-238.18. Evaluation of program.

- (a) State-Level Program Evaluation Procedures. A program audit shall be conducted by the Office of the State Auditor following the first and second years of the program. The audit shall certify that the State Board of Education and State Department of Public Instruction have implemented procedures as specified by the General Assembly. The audit shall focus on the autonomy and flexibility given to local school administrative units in the development of outcome-based education models and plans.
  - (b) Local-Level Program Evaluation Procedures.
    - (1) The program audit conducted by the Office of the State Auditor following the second year of the program shall include a local-level procedures component. The audit shall certify that local plans contain elements as specified by the General Assembly. The audit shall also certify that teachers and building level administrators were involved in the development of plans.
    - (2) The Department of Public Instruction shall conduct a process evaluation of each pilot site following the third through sixth years of the program. The evaluation shall determine how well plans have been implemented. The evaluation shall focus on staff development, organizational and instructional activities.
  - (c) Student-Level Outcomes Evaluation.—
    - (1) Local pilot sites shall develop and implement accountability models designed to measure student outcomes. The plans shall include the use of tests available through the State's testing program. Accountability models shall be part of the site plans submitted to the State Board for approval.
    - (2) The State Department of Public Instruction shall audit the implementation of accountability models. Audits shall be conducted following the third, fourth, fifth, and sixth years of the program.
    - (3) The State Department of Public Instruction shall conduct a summative evaluation following the sixth year of the program. Student outcomes shall be the focus of the summative evaluation.
- (d) Reports to the General Assembly. The State Board of Education shall submit a summative evaluation report on the projects to the General Assembly prior to March 15, 1998.

# "§ 115C-238.19. Solicitation of private funds for additional sites.

The State Board of Education shall design and implement a program for soliciting private funds to support outcome-based education sites in addition to the four sites in the initial project. As funds become available, the State Board may authorize additional sites to participate in the program."

Sec. 3. Appropriation of Funds. – There is appropriated from the General Fund to the Department of Public Education the sum of \$4,000,000 for the 1992-93 fiscal year to implement the outcome-based education program at four sites pursuant to Section 2 of this act. These funds shall be allocated on the basis of \$500.00 for each State-funded certificated employee participating in the program. These funds shall be used (i) for staff development activities, including planning activities, for teachers,

8

1	administrators, and school board members, (ii) to pay substitute teachers while teachers
2	are engaged in staff development activities, and (iii) to pay 10-month employees for
3	participating in staff development activities, including planning activities during the
4	summer.
5	It is the intent of the General Assembly to appropriate an additional
6	\$4,000,000 each year for the 1993-94 through 1996-97 fiscal year to complete the

to appropriate an additional \$4,000,000 each year for the 1993-94 through 1996-97 fiscal year to complete the implementation of the outcome-based education program at the four sites.

Sec. 4. This act becomes effective July 1, 1991.